



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/305,178 05/04/1999		05/04/1999	GUILLAUME RIBADEAU-DUMAS	6-1032-035	1699	
803	7590	02/14/2002				
HENDERSON & STURM LLP				EXAMINER		
1213 MIDLAND BUILDING 206 SIXTH AVENUE DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076 CORBIN, ARTHU				RTHUR L		
DES MOIN	ES, IA	50309-4076		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	75	
				DATE MAILED: 02/14/2002	<u>!</u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
*	Application No.	Applicar			<u> </u>
Office Action Summary	(3°5)17 Examiner	X K-1BA	DEAU-	L (~(AS	Ft
Office Action Gainnary	A Rotture L		Group An	. Onit	
	FT POTHER L	ORBI -	م ۱٬ (۵)	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	beneath th	e corresponde	ènce addre	ss-
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MON1	TH(S) FROM T	HE MAILIN	IG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ys, a reply within the statutory default, expire SIX (6) MONTH: by statute, cause the applicati	minimum of the from the mail on to become A	irty (30) days will t ing date of this co ABANDONED (35	pe considered ommunication U.S.C. § 133	d timely. n.).
Status	(·		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-16-01				·
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance e accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle 			as to the mer	its is close	ed in
Disposition of Claims					
			_		
Of the above claim(c)	:-/-	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Of the above claim(s)		IS/8	are withdrawn t	TOTT COTISIO	
□ Claim(s)		is/a	are allowed.	iom consid	
• •		is/a	are allowed.	iom consid	
□ Claim(s)		is/a	are allowed. are rejected.		
□ Claim(s) 39 ~ (6		is/a is/a is/a is/a	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to subject to rest	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers		is/a is/a is/a are req	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approve	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	is □ approve	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approve	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	is □ approve	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approve	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner.	is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	is/a is/a are req d □ disapp	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest uirement	. ,	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp er	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest juirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The January Sussessing Sussess	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 seen received. seen received in Application	is/a is/a is/a are reo d □ disapp er	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest juirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the certi	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 neen received. neen received in Application nents have been received	is/a is/a is/a are red d □ disapp er	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest juirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examire Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 neen received. neen received in Application ments have been received	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp er (a)-(d).	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to rest uirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priculation of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the priority documents have be copied to the certified copies of the ce	is □ approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 neen received. neen received in Application ments have been received	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapp er (a)-(d).	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to rest uirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of t	is approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 seen received. seen received in Application aments have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1	is/a is/a is/a are red d □ disapper er	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest juirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examire Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have book or copies of the certified copies of	is approve objected to by the Examir ner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 seen received. seen received in Application aments have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1	is/a is/a is/a are red d □ disapper er	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to rest uirement proved.	triction or e	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of t	is approve objected to by the Examir ner. per very under 35 U.S.C. § 119 peen received. peen received in Application iments have been received ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1) per No(s).	is/a is/a is/a is/a are req d □ disapper er in (a)–(d). in No 7.2(a))	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. subject to rest juirement proved.	triction or e	lection

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _______

Application/Control Number: 09/305,178

Art Unit: 1761

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 16, 2001 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There's no support in the original disclosure for the limitation recited in claim 41.

Table 2 in applicant's spec. discloses a glass transition temperature of 35.3°C for a 3% water content.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

5. Claims 39-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatka et al (5,458,892) or Meyers et al (5,236,719).

Yata et al is described in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3, Paper No. 16.

Also, see Examples 190 to 192 in Yatka et al for the use of a polyol and pyrodextrin is in hard candy. Although the soluble, Yatka et al (cols. 6 and 9) discloses that lactitol or mannitol are viable alternatives for the polyols in said examples. Meyers et al also disclose candies which include a pyrodextrin and lactitol or mannitol (col. 4).

Additionally, the claimed molecular weight of 4000 to 5000 daltons is merely preferred by applicant (page 11 of spec.) and is thus not critical. Further, said molecular weight is a result effective variable which, in the absence of unexpected results, is merely an obvious matter of choice.

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-38 submitted October 19, 2001 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate $\mathcal{H} \triangleq \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Application/Control Number: 09/305,178

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Arthur Corbin/om

February 13, 2002

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/13/02